

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/941,144	0	8/28/2001	Xiaolin Wang	5894	
41840	7590	06/14/2005		EXAM	INER
RINES & F 81 N. STAT		-	FOX, JAMAL A		
CONCORD, NH 03301				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2664	
				DATE MAIL ED: 06/14/2004	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		. 0					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany	09/941,144	WANG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jamal A. Fox	2664					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is spécified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed tays will be considered timely, om the mailing date of this communication, NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Au	ugust 2001.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 and 26 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 13 and 25 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 14-24 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 22 January 2002 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the order of the content of the correction of th	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S ion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applic rity documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date al Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2664

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States:
- 2. Claim 1'3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brown et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,748,618).

Referring to claim 13, Brown et al. discloses a scalable-port (Fig. 1 ref. sign 10 and "one or more sources" col. 3 lines 60-65), non-blocking (block, col. 7 lines 46-56), shared-memory (Figures 1 and 2A ref. sign 150 and respective portions of the spec.) output-buffered (Figures 1 and 2A ref. sign 540 and respective portions of the spec.) variable-length (variable, col. 7 lines 25-30) queued (FIFO, Fig. 1 ref. signs 400-1 to 400-N and respective portions of the spec.) data switch.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,748,618).

Referring to claim 25, Brown et al. discloses a scalable-port (Fig. 1 ref. sign 10 and "one or more sources" col. 3 lines 60-65), non-blocking (block, col. 7 lines 46-56), shared memory (Figures 1 and 2A ref. sign 150 and respective portions of the spec.) output-buffered (Figures 1 and 2A ref. sign 540 and respective portions of the spec.) variable-length (variable, col. 7 lines 25-30) queued (FIFO, Fig. 1 ref. signs 400-1 to 400-N and respective portions of the spec.) data switch, but fails to explicitly teach of supporting 64 OC-192 or 16 OC-768 ports. However, support for several gigabits per second speeds are disclosed (gigabit per second, col. 1 lines 66-68, col. 2 lines 1-13). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included supporting 64 OC-192 or 16 OC-768 ports because OC-192 is a fiber optic connection that handles 10 Gbps speeds and gigabits per second speeds are disclosed (see above).

Specification

5. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Application/Control Number: 09/941,144 Page 4

Art Unit: 2664

6. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is not within the range of 50 to 150 words in length. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 1-12 and 26 are allowed.
- 8. Claims 14-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

9 Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 305-3988, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 305-3988 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA. 22202, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jamal A. Fox whose telephone number is (571) 272-
- 3143. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30 AM 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wellington Chin can be reached on (571) 272-3134. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 09/941,144

Art Unit: 2664

Page 5

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9315 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Jamal A. Fox

WELLINGTON CHIN PERWSORY PATENT EXAMINED